# THE EFFECTS OF APPLYING CHITOSAN OF DIFFERENT MOLECULAR WEIGHTS ON THE GROWTH AND QUALITY OF KAMCHATKA BERRIES (LONICERA CAERULEA L.): PART 1

Ireneusz Ochmian<sup>1,a,\*</sup>, Marcelina Krupa-Małkiewicz<sup>2,b</sup>

# Abstract

Kamchatka berries contain many valuable organic and mineral compounds. However, the ripe berries are not very firm and have low transport resistance. We aimed to study the effect of chitosan solutions of different molecular weights on the growth, yield, and quality of Kamchatka berries. Chitosan with lower molecular weights, 3 and 12 kDa, stimulated plant growth, while those with molecular weights above 50 kDa reduced plant growth compared with control shrubs. Chitosan 125 and 500 kDa increased Kamchatka berry yield. In addition, chitosan 125 kDa increased fruit weight throughout the yield period. Fruit sprayed with chitosan 3, 5, and 50 kDa were dark in colour at harvest. Chitosan 3, 5, 12, and 50 kDa increased fruit/skin resistance to mechanical damage. Finally, chitosan 3, 5, 50, and 950 kDa increased average fruit firmness. Overall, we recommend higher molecular weight chitosan to increase yield and lower molecular weight chitosan to increase firmness and resistance to damage.

Keywords: shrub growth, yield, fruit firmness, fruit size

**Received:** 13.02.2023 **Accepted:** 23.03.2023

# 1. Introduction

Kamchatka berries or blue honeysuckle berries (*Lonicera caerulea* L.) have been widely planted for many years in Russia, Japan, and Canada [1]. So far, these berries are only planted on a small scale in Poland, but interest in this plant is gradually increasing. Its full frost hardiness (down to  $-40^{\circ}$ C) and relatively low climatic requirements mean that the species can be planted successfully throughout Poland [2], especially as new varieties from various breeding centres are available [3]. The first harvest can be obtained several days before the strawberry and raspberry harvests, which means that these are the first fruit from indigenous production to appear on the market. The undoubted disadvantages are the dropping of ripe fruit and their relatively low firmness and therefore low transport resistance [4]. The retention of firmness after harvest is one of the most important indicators of freshness and consumer attractiveness. Many factors reduce the shelf life of fruit [5].

Over the last few years, numerous preparations that stimulate plant growth and development have appeared on the Polish market. These products could address consumer demands and improve the quality characteristics of plants and fruit [6]. However, the use of plant protection products and synthetic fertilisers is one of the main causes of environmental degradation. In recent years, measures have been taken in the countries of the European Union to minimise or prevent the effects of excessive and often irrational chemigation of agricultural production [7]. Chemical plant protection, when possible, should be replaced by biological, physical, and agrotechnical methods [8]. To this end, there is a continuous search for the best conditions to ensure plant growth and development without causing biotic and abiotic stresses [9].

One substance of natural origin used in agricultural production is chitosan (CH). This polysaccharide of repeating  $\beta(1\rightarrow 4)$ –d–glucosamine and *N*–acetyl–d–glucosamine units is the second most abundant polysaccharide in nature after cellulose [10]. It is derived from crustacean shells and other sources such as insects, fish scales, and fungi [11]. It is a non-toxic and biodegradable compound of natural origin that is obtained by deacetylation of chitin [12]. This compound is characterised by unique properties such as bioactivity and biocompatibility [13, 14]. When applied to plants, CH can increase yield, reduce transpiration, and induce several metabolic changes that result in plants becoming more resistant to viral, bacterial, and fungal infections [15–17]. CH stimulates vital processes of plants on every level of biological organisation: from single cells to tissues, through physiological and biochemical processes all the way to changes at the molecular level related to gene expression [18, 19]

CH covers a wide group of polycationic macromolecular compounds differing mainly in their molecular weight and degree of polydispersity. These parameters influence most of the biological properties and functions of CH [20]. CH has low solubility in water and is therefore subjected to chemical modifications. Derivatives are formed that are allow it to dissolve more easily [21] – for example, water-soluble oligomers of CH lactate. They are characterised by short chains and a low molecular weight [22]. The efficacy of CH-based formulations varies considerably, and they need to be tested for use in planting.

We investigated the effect of foliar-applied CH on bush growth, yield, and fruit quality of Kamchatka berries.

# 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Characteristics of the Research Area and Plant Material

The experiment was conducted at the Horticulture Department of the West Pomeranian University of Technology in Szczecin. Blue honeysuckle bushes, cultivar Zielona, were planted at a spacing of  $3 \times 1$  m in clay soil, classified as Class III, with pH 6.2–6.5. The soil had a high abundance of potassium and phosphorus and a medium abundance of magnesium, so only nitrogen fertilisers at a dose of 45 kg nitrogen were applied. The plants were irrigated with a drip line according to the soil tensiometers.

Every year, before the start of vegetation, the bushes were pruned and damaged. The dry and oldest shoots were removed. Before the berries were fully coloured, a net was laid over the plant to protect it from birds.

# 2.2. Production of CH and Film Preparation

Chitin was obtained by demineralising, deproteinising, and deodourising shrimp (*Farfantepenaeus brasiliensis*) waste.

The plants were treated with CH with molecular weights of 3,000 (3k), 5,000 (5k), 12,000 (12k), 21,000 (21k), 50,000 (50k), 125,000 (125k), 500,000 (500k), and 950,000 (950k) kDa. The degree of deacetylation was 85%. The plants were sprayed once after the start of vegetation and three times a week after the end of flowering. The same plants were sprayed annually with a 0.2% solution of CH until the leaves were fully wetted. Control plants were sprayed with distilled water only. No other chemical plant protection was applied during the experiment. The berries were harvested several times (5–7 times) in June as they ripened.

#### 2.3. General Fruit Parameters

Every year, the fruit yield and weight were measured (RADWAG WPX  $4500 \pm 0.01$  g, Poland). The CIE  $L^*a^*b^*$  parameters was measured using a KonicaMinolta CM-700d spectrophotometer (Chiyoda, Japan). The colour parameters and indices were averaged over 50 measurements. The firmness and puncture resistance of the skin were measured with a FirmTech2 apparatus (BioWorks, USA) on 100 randomly selected berries from three replicates; the values are expressed as a gram-force causing the fruit surface to bend 1 mm [23].

#### 2.4. Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed with Statistica 12.5 (StatSoft Polska, Poland). The data were subjected to one-way variance analysis (ANOVA). The Tukey least significant difference (LSD) test was used to compare the means between the groups. Significance was set at p < 0.05.

# 3. Results and Discussion

We found a significant but inconclusive effect of the applied CH solutions on bush growth, yield, and Kamchatka berry quality (Table 1).

Regarding plant growth during the vegetation period, CH with a higher molecular weight, from 50k, reduced plant growth compared with control shrubs. In contrast, CH 3k and 12k stimulated plant growth: the plants were taller and wider. CH 12k and 21k led to larger leaves and the longest annual shoots, indicating the stimulating nature of these agents. The leaves were also greener, especially those sprayed with CH 125k, compared with the control plants (Figure 1).

Leaf colour is highly correlated to the chlorophyll content [24]. Dzung *et al.* [25] reported an increase in the chlorophyll content in leaves after CH application. This may be due to increased plant uptake of nutrients [19]. The effect of the CH molecular weight may depend on the plant species. Luan *et al.* [26] showed that low-molecular-weight CH

(16k) had the strongest growth promotion effect on plants in vitro, while Lee *et al.* [27] reported that CH > 1000k had the most beneficial effect on soybean seedlings.

Low-molecular-weight CH – especially 12k, which stimulated bush growth the most, – did not increase fruit yield compared with the control (Table 2). Shrubs sprayed with CH 21k had the highest yield, but the berries was small. We also found a significant increase in the yield for plants sprayed with CH 125k and 500k. However, these CH formulations did not stimulate vegetative growth in the plants (Table 1). CH 125k also contributed to an increase in fruit weight over the entire yield period. The producer is looking for ways to increase fruit volume, but the consumer expects large fruit, which cannot always be achieved.

Chitosan	Increase during the growing season [cm]			Loof area [am <sup>2</sup> ]	
	Height	Width	Annual shoots	Leai area [cm <sup>-</sup> ]	
Control	23.8°	24.3°	17.8 <sup>bc</sup>	15.1 <sup>ab</sup>	
3k	33.6 <sup>d</sup>	29.7 <sup>cd</sup>	24.3°	14.1 <sup>ab</sup>	
5k	22.4°	21.6 <sup>bc</sup>	22.2°	16.0 <sup>bc</sup>	
12k	36.4 <sup>d</sup>	39.1 <sup>d</sup>	27.5 <sup>d</sup>	18.6 <sup>d</sup>	
21k	18.2 <sup>bc</sup>	20.2 <sup>bc</sup>	25.9 <sup>cd</sup>	17.6 <sup>cd</sup>	
50k	9.8 <sup>ab</sup>	9.1ª	17.3 <sup>bc</sup>	14.8 <sup>ab</sup>	
125k	11.2 <sup>ab</sup>	12.1 <sup>ab</sup>	16.2 <sup>b</sup>	15.4 <sup>b</sup>	
500k	14.0 <sup>ab</sup>	8.1ª	7.3ª	15.2 <sup>ab</sup>	
950k	5.6ª	9.4ª	9.7ª	13.3ª	

 Table 1. The growth of Kamchatka berry bushes treated with different molecular weights of chitosan.

*Note.* The molecular weights of chitosan: 3,000 (3k), 5,000 (5k), 12,000 (12k), 21,000 (21k), 50,000 (50k), 125,000 (125k), 500,000 (500k), and 950,000 (950k) kDa. Mean values with the same letter do not differ significantly (p > 0.05) according to the Tukey test.



Figure 1. The colour of Kamchatka berry bush leaves treated with different molecular weights of chitosan. The molecular weights of chitosan: 3,000 (3k), 5,000 (5k), 12,000 (12k), 21,000 (21k), 50,000 (50k), 125,000 (125k), 500,000 (500k), and 950,000 (950k) kDa.

<sup>122</sup> Progress on Chemistry and Application of Chitin and its Derivatives, Volume XXVIII, 2023, https://doi.org/10.15259/PCACD.28.011

The effects of applying chitosan of different molecular weights on the growth and quality of Kamchatka berries (Lonicera caerulea L.): Part 1

Chitosan	Yield [kg/ shrub]	Weight of one fruit per harvest date [g]				
		Mean	Beginning of harvest	Middle of harvest	End of harvest	
Control	2.27 <sup>ab</sup>	1.46 <sup>ab</sup>	1.17ª	1.91 <sup>bc</sup>	1.31 <sup>abc</sup>	
3k	2.45 <sup>bc</sup>	1.47 <sup>ab</sup>	1.23ª	1.65ª	1.53 <sup>de</sup>	
5k	2.59°	1.39 <sup>ab</sup>	1.13ª	1.70ª	1.35 <sup>abc</sup>	
12k	2.16ª	1.34ª	1.16ª	1.65ª	1.22 <sup>ab</sup>	
21k	3.64 <sup>e</sup>	1.32ª	1.17ª	1.72 <sup>ab</sup>	1.06ª	
50k	2.08ª	1.47 <sup>ab</sup>	1.18ª	1.92°	1.32 <sup>abc</sup>	
125k	3.17 <sup>d</sup>	1.57 <sup>b</sup>	1.31ª	1.82 <sup>abc</sup>	1.57 <sup>e</sup>	
500k	2.97 <sup>d</sup>	1.49 <sup>ab</sup>	1.33ª	1.79 <sup>abc</sup>	1.36 <sup>bcd</sup>	
950k	2.45 <sup>bc</sup>	1.45 <sup>ab</sup>	1.13ª	1.77 <sup>abc</sup>	1.45 <sup>cde</sup>	

 Table 2.
 The fruit yield and weight of Kamchatka berry bushes treated with different molecular weights of chitosan.

*Note.* The molecular weights of chitosan: 3,000 (3k), 5,000 (5k), 12,000 (12k), 21,000 (21k), 50,000 (50k), 125,000 (125k), 500,000 (500k), and 950,000 (950k) kDa. Mean values with the same letter do not differ significantly (p > 0.05) according to the Tukey test.

Firmness is an important physical parameter used to assess fruit quality during ripening, storage, and distribution. It determines the storability of the fruit and its suitability for transport. Loss of firmness is the most noticeable change that occurs in fruit during storage or transport [28, 29]. Kamchatka berries have a relatively low firmness [30], and this feature is also highly dependent on the cultivar [31]. Therefore, finding a substance to increase this parameter would be valuable. A popular way to protect fruit is to soak it in an agent. A CH coating could reduce the rate of softening by reducing metabolic activity because it acts as a barrier to oxygen and carbon dioxide absorption [32]. However, inaccurate drying of the fruit can result in fungal infestation.

We noted a wide variation in the firmness of the treated Kamchatka berries (Figure 2). This is due to the uneven ripening of the berries and their dark, almost black colour, which they acquire at an early stage of ripening. This makes it difficult to distinguish between ripe and still-ripening berries. The application of CH 3k, 5k, 50k, and 950k produced the greatest increase in the average firmness of the berries. In contrast, CH 3k and 50k increased the maximum firmness of Kamchatka berries (Figure 2). Low-molecular-weight CH 3k, 5k, 12k, and 50k also increased fruit/peel resistance to mechanical damage. This is very important at the fruit-harvesting stage as well as during transport. Plants sprayed with CH 3k, 5k, and especially 50k were dark in colour at harvest, indicating their ripeness (Figure 3). These are typical colour characteristics of Kamchatka berries at harvest [4].

The application of CH can increase the firmness of fruit due to the formation of a CH film on the fruit surface. Such a coating acts as an oxygen barrier, which slows down metabolic activity and oxidative decomposition of glucose, process that promote the ripening process [33]. The CH coating can also reduce cell wall degradation, which in turn maintains cell turgidity and protects the structure of the cell wall. The increase in fruit firmness may be due to the stopping/slowing of starch hydrolysis, or a reduction of the breakdown of proto-pectins into soluble pectin. CH also increases the extract content of fruit [34].



Figure 2. The effect of foliar-applied chitosan on the firmness and damage resistance of Kamchatka berries. The molecular weights of chitosan: 3,000 (3k), 5,000 (5k), 12,000 (12k), 21,000 (21k), 50,000 (50k), 125,000 (125k), 500,000 (500k), and 950,000 (950k) kDa.



**Figure 3.** The effect of foliar-applied chitosan on the colour of Kamchatka berries. The molecular weights of chitosan: 3,000 (3k), 5,000 (5k), 12,000 (12k), 21,000 (21k), 50,000 (50k), 125,000 (125k), 500,000 (500k), and 950,000 (950k) kDa.

# 4. Conclusion

CH is an easily accessible, environmentally friendly biopolymer with great potential for use in horticulture due to its biostimulatory, elicitation, and antimicrobial activity, as well as plant growth stimulation and tolerance to environmental stresses. However, CH had inconclusive effects on the growth, yield, and fruit quality of Kamchatka berry bushes. CH with lower molecular weights (3kDa and 12kDa) stimulated plant growth, while CH with a molecular weight above 50kDa reduced plant growth compared with control shrubs.

The effects of applying chitosan of different molecular weights on the growth and quality of Kamchatka berries (Lonicera caerulea L.): Part 1

However, there was a significant increase in the yield of plants sprayed with CH 125 kDa and 500 kDa. CH 125 kDa treatment increased fruit weight throughout the yield period. The application of CH 3, 5, 50 and 950 kDa increased the average berry firmness, while CH 3, 5, 12 and 50 kDa increased berry/peel resistance to mechanical damage. CH 3, 5 and especially 50 kDa produced berries that were dark in colour at harvest, indicating ripeness. Based on our data, we recommend higher molecular weight CH to increase yield and lower molecular weight CH to improve firmness and damage resistance.

# 5. References

- [1] Senica M, Stampar F, Mikulic-Petkovsek M; (2018) Blue honeysuckle (Lonicera cearulea L. subs. edulis) berry; a rich source of some nutrients and their differences among four different cultivars. Sci Hortic 238, 215–221. DOI:10.1016/j. scienta.2018.04.056
- [2] Golis T; (2007) Jagoda kamczacka cenna roślina do uprawy towarowej. Sad Now10, 25–27.
- [3] Smolik M, Ochmian I, Bobrowska-Chwat A, Chwat G, Arus L, Banaszczak P, Ostrowska K; (2022). Fingerprinting, structure, and genetic relationships among selected accessions of blue honeysuckle (Lonicera caerulea L.) from European collections. Biotechnol Rep 34, e00721. **DOI:**10.1016/j.btre.2022.e00721
- [4] Ochmian I, Skupien K, Grajkowski J; (2012) Chemical composition and physical characteristics of fruits of two cultivars of blue honeysuckle (*Lonicera caerulea* L.) in relation to their degree of maturity and harvest date. Not Bot Horti Agrobot Cluj Napoca 40(1), 155–162. **DOI**:10.15835/nbha4017314
- [5] Shiekh RA, Malik MA, Al-Thabaiti SA, Shiekh MA; (2013) Chitosan as a novel edible coating for fresh fruits. Food Sci Technol Res 19, 139–155. DOI:10.3136/ fstr.19.139
- [6] Krupa-Małkiewicz M, Smolik B; (2019) Alleviative effects of chitosan and ascorbic acid on Petunia × atkinsiana D Don under salinity. Eur J Horticul Sci 84(6), 359–365. DOI:10.17660/eJHS.2019/84.6.5
- [7] Kopacki M, Stepniak PM, Jamiolkowska A, Skwarylo-Bednarz B, Krzepilko A;
   (2019) Integrated plant protection as an element of good agricultural practice. Aura 2, 12–14. DOI:10.15199/2.2019.2.3
- [8] Moha T, Said W, Abdelilah M, Mohammed R; (2021) Use of metabolomics data analysis to identify fruit quality markers enhanced by the application of an aminopolysaccharide. RSC Adv 11(56), 35514–35524. DOI:10.1039/D1RA05865G
- [9] Balusamy SR, Rahimi S, Sukweenadhi J, Sunderraj S, Shanmugam R, Thangavelu L; (2022) Chitosan, chitosan nanoparticles and modified chitosan biomaterials, a potential tool to combat salinity stress in plants. Carbohydr Polym 119189. DOI:10.1016/j.carbpol.2022.119189
- [10] Martínez-Camacho AP, Cortez-Rocha MO, Ezquerra-Brauer JM, Graciano-Verdugo AZ, Rodriguez-Félix F, Castillo-Ortega MM, Plascencia-Jatomea M; (2010) Chitosan composite films: thermal, structural, mechanical and antifungal properties. Carbohydr Polym 82, 305–315. DOI:10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.04.069
- [11] Kaczmarek M, Struszczyk-Swita K, Li X, Szczęsna-Antczak M, Daroch M; (2019) Enzymatic modifications of chitin, chitosan, and chitooligosaccharides. Front Bioeng Biotech 7(2), 43. DOI:10.3389/fbioe.2019.00243
- [12] Majeti NV, Ravi Kumar; (2000) A review of chitin and chitosan applications. React Funct Polym 46(1) 1–27. DOI:10.1016/S1381–5148(00)00038–9

- [13] Dias A, Cortez A, Barsan M, Santos J, Brett C, De Sousa H; (2013) Development of greener multi-responsive chitosan biomaterials doped with biocompatible ammonium ionic liquids. ACS Sustainable Chem Eng 1(11), 1480–1492. DOI:10.1021/sc4002577
- [14] Figiel-Kroczyńska M, Ochmian I, Krupa-Małkiewicz M; (2022) Effect of chitosanbased spraying on the quality of highbush blueberries (*Sunrise cultivar*). Prog Chem Appl Chitin Deriv XXVII, 67–78. DOI:10.15259/PCACD.27.005
- [15] Muley AB, Shingote PR, Patila AP, Dalvi SG, Suprasanna P; (2019) Gamma radiation degradation of chitosan for application in growth promotion and induction of stress tolerance in potato (Solanum tuberosum L.). Carbohydr Polym 210, 289– 301. DOI:10.1016/j.carbpol.2019.01.056
- [16] Da Silva EA, Silva VNB, de Alvarenga AA, Bertolucci SKV; (2021) Biostimulating effect of chitosan and acetic acid on the growth and profile of the essential oil of Mentha arvensis L Ind Crops Prod 171, 113987. DOI:10.1016/j.indcrop.2021.113987
- [17] Ochmian I, Lachowicz S, Krupa-Małkiewicz M; (2022) The effect of different molecular weights of chitosan on the yield, quality, and health-promoting properties of strawberries Prog Chem Appl Chitin Deriv XXVII, 194–203. DOI:10.15259/ PCACD.27.015
- [18] Hadwiger L; (2013) Plant science review: Multiple effects of chitosan on plant systems: Solid science or hype. Plant Sci 208, 42–49. DOI:10.1016/j. plantsci.2013.03.007
- [19] Nguyen Van S, Dinh Minh H, Nguyen Anh D; (2013) Study on chitosan nanoparticles on biophysical char-acteristics and growth of Robusta coffee in green house. Biocatal Agric Biotechnol 2(4), 289–294. DOI:10.1016/j.bcab.2013.06.001
- [20] Malerba M, Cerana R; (2018) Recent advances of chitosan applications in plants. Polymers 10, 118. DOI:10.3390/polym10020118
- [21] Zargar V, Asghari M, Dashti A; (2015) Review on chitin and chitosan polymers: structure, chemistry, solubility, derivatives, and applications. Chem Bio Eng Rev 2, 204–226. DOI:10.1002/cben.201400025
- [22] Nguyen NT, Hoang DQ, Nguyen ND, Nguyen QH, Nguyen DH; (2017) Preparation, characterization, and antioxidant activity of water-soluble oligochitosan. Green Process Synth 6, 461–468. DOI:10.1515/gps-2016–0126
- [23] Ochmian I, Błaszak M, Lachowicz S, Piwowarczyk R; (2020). The impact of cultivation systems on the nutritional and phytochemical content, and microbiological contamination of highbush blueberry. Sci Rep 10(1), 16696. DOI:10.1038/s41598-020-73947-8
- [24] Pacewicz K, Gregorczyk A; (2009) Porownanie ocen zawartości chlorofilu chlorofilometrami SPAD-502 i N-Tester. Folia Pomer Univ Technol Stetin Agric Aliment Pisc Zootech 269(9), 49–46.
- [25] Dzung N, Khanh V, Dzung T; (2011) Research on impact of chitosan oligomers on bio-physical characteristics, growth, development and drought resistance of coffee. Carbohydr Polym 84, 751–755. DOI:10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.07.066
- [26] Luan L, Ha V, Nagasawa N, Kume T, Yo-shii F, Nakanishi T (2005) Biological effect of irradiated chitosan on plants in vitro. Appl Biochem 41, 49–57. DOI:10.1042/ BA20030219
- [27] Lee Y, Kim Y, Kim S; (2005) Changes in the respiration, growth, and vitamin C content of soybean sprouts in response to chitosan of different molecular weights. HortScience 40, 1333–1335. DOI:10.21273/HORTSCI.40.5.1333
- [28] Xin Y, Jin Z, Chen F, Lai S, Yang H; (2020) Effect of chitosan coatings on the evolution of sodium carbonate-soluble pectin during sweet cherry softening under

126 Progress on Chemistry and Application of Chitin and its Derivatives, Volume XXVIII, 2023, https://doi.org/10.15259/PCACD.28.011

The effects of applying chitosan of different molecular weights on the growth and quality of Kamchatka berries (Lonicera caerulea L.): Part 1

non-isothermal conditions. Int J Biol Macromol 154, 267–275. **DOI:**10.1016/j. ijbiomac.2020.03.104

- [29] Mannozzi C, Tylewicz U, Chinnici F, Siroli L, Rocculi P, Rosa MD, Romani S; (2018) Effects of chitosan based coatings enriched with procyanidin by-product on quality of fresh blueberries during storage. Food Chem 251, 18–24. DOI:10.1016/j. foodchem.2018.01.015
- [30] Skupień K, Ochmian I, Grajkowski J; (2009) Influence of ripening time on fruit chemical composition of two blue honeysuckle cultigens. J Fruit Ornam Plant Res 17(1), 101–111.
- [31] Ochmian I, Smolik M, Dobrowolska A; (2013) The influence of harvest date on fruit quality of several cultivars of blue honeysuckle berries. EJPAU 16(1). http://www.ejpau.media.pl/volume16/issue1/art-02.html.
- [32] Sogvar OB, Saba MK, Emamifar A; (2016) Aloe vera and ascorbic acid coatings maintain postharvest quality and reduce microbialload of strawberry fruit. Postharvest Biol Technol 114, 29–35. DOI:10.1016/j.postharvbio.2015.11.019
- [33] Reddy BMV (2000) Effect of pre-harvest chitosan sprays on post-harvest infection by *Botrytis cinerea* and quality of strawberry fruit. Postharvest Biol Technol 20, 39–51. DOI:10.1016/S0925–5214(00)00108–3
- [34] Peck GM, Andrews PK, Reganold JP, Fellman JK (2006) Apple orchard productivity and fruit quality under organic, conventional and integrated management. Hortic Sci 41, 99–107. DOI:10.21273/HORTSCI.41.1.99